
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

MARTHA VASSALLE, et al., : Case No. 3:11CV0096

Plaintiffs, : <u>And Related Case</u>

Case No. 3:10CV0091

VS.

MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC, et al., :

HON. DAVID A. KATZ

Defendants :

OBJECTOR CLAWSON'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATUS REPORT

Perhaps because Defendants attempted to keep their Status Report brief, or because they were relying in part on hearsay, they have provided a statement regarding the purported views of the undersigned, one of Objector Clawson's counsel, that could easily be misconstrued. Defendants have represented to the Court that "Objector Clawson's Counsel, Charles Delbaum, has indicated that he does not agree with the clarified release provision in the MOU." Status Report at p.2. This statement is accurate, but it is incomplete and out of context, and therefore may be misleading.

First, the undersigned has never conveyed Objector Clawson's settlement position to Midland. Contrary to the inference that would most likely be drawn from Midland's statement, Objector Clawson has not been a participant in the settlement negotiations or the creation of the MOU. Rather, with one exception, the undersigned merely has had a few courtesy communications with Class Counsel at the invitation of Class Counsel, nothing more. The one exception is that the undersigned specifically requested all parties working toward a nationwide settlement to carve out of those settlement negotiations the states in which statewide class actions are pending, including at least California, Washington and Michigan. Class Counsel was amenable to this request, but Midland refused.

Second, in his courtesy communications with Class Counsel, the undersigned has never conveyed any endorsement of the MOU. Rather, Clawson Objector clearly and repeatedly has stated that unless the Release provision is revised so as to permit class members to seek to vacate or defend against judgments taken against them on the basis of affidavits falsely purporting to be made on personal knowledge on any ground permitted under their particular state law, including the falsity of the affidavit, then Objector Clawson would not even consider the merits of the balance of the MOU.

¹ In the undersigned's most recent communication to Dennis Murray and Donna Evans, an email of June 27, 2013, this position was reiterated as follows: "As I said before, I cannot assure you of our support if you are able to convince Midland of this change [narrowing the Release, as

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Charles Delbaum
THE NATIONAL CONSUMER LAW CENTER
By Charles Delbaum

Stuart Rossman

7 Winthrop Square, 4th Fl.

Boston, MA 02110

Telephone: (617) 542-8010 Facsimile: (617) 542-8028 Email: cdelbaum@nclc.org

and

Daniel E. Birkhaeuser BRAMSON PLUTZIK MAHLER & BIRKHAEUSER, LLP 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120 Walnut Creek, CA 94598

Telephone: 925-945-0200 Facsimile: 925-945-8792

Email: dbirkhaeuser@bramsonplutzik.com

and

Reginald S. Jackson, Jr. (0003558) E-Mail: rjackson@cjc-law.com Adam S. Nightingale (0079095) EMail: anightingale@cjc-law.com

CONNELLY, JACKSON & COLLIER LLP

405 Madison Avenue, Suite 2300

Toledo, Ohio 43604

Telephone: (419) 243-2100

Facsimile: (419) 243-7119

and

LAW OFFICE OF IAN CHOWDHURY Ian D. Chowdhury (Bar No. 199018) 8853 Fullbright Avenue Winnetka, CA 91306 Telephone: (818) 407-0510

Facsimile: (818) 337-2215

Email:ian@ianchowdhury.com

Counsel for Robert Clawson

PROOF OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been electronically filed with the Court this 15th day of July, 2013. Notice of the filing will be sent to the parties by operation of the court's electronic filing system. The parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

<u>/s/ Charles Delbaum</u> Charles Delbaum